

Document #: xxx

Created on:xx Last Modified on :xx

# **ISHELL TECHNICAL NOTE**

# **XDM: TILT POSITIONING SENSOR**

Original Author: Morgan Bonnet Latest Revision: Approved by: XX



NASA Infrared Telescope Facility Institute for Astronomy University of Hawaii

**Revision History** 

| Revision No. | Author &<br>Date | Approval &<br>Date | Description |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|              |                  |                    |             |
|              |                  |                    |             |



# Contents

| 1 Introduction / Document Purpose                 | 3 |
|---|---|
| 2 Cross Disperser Tilt Positioning Requirements:  | 3 |
| 3 Hall Effect Sensor: F.W. Bell FH-301-040        | 4 |
| 3.1 Technical Data:                               | 4 |
| 3.2 Pros / Cons                                   | 5 |
| 4 Eddy Current Sensor: Kaman DIT-5200L / 20N      | 6 |
| 4.1 Technical Data                                | 6 |
| 4.2 Pros / Cons                                   | 7 |
| 5 Choice of a Sensor and Implementation           | 8 |
| 5.1 Choice of a Sensor                            | 8 |
| 5.2 Implementation                                | 8 |
| 5.3 Physical Range Reduction                      | 9 |
| 6 Appendix A: Kaman Sensor Accuracy Calculation10 | 0 |
| 7 Appendix B: XDM Tilt Drive Calculations 1       | 1 |



## **1 Introduction / Document Purpose**

The purpose of the document is to discuss ways to obtain a tilt position feedback for the turret of the Cross-Disperser mechanism. The goal of the study is to identify the most practical and economical way to control the position of the turret within the accuracy imposed by the mechanism's requirements. In particular, two types of sensors will be compared: hall-effect sensors versus eddy current sensors.

#### 2 Cross Disperser Tilt Positioning Requirements:

(See MathCAD worksheet: "XDM\_TiltPositioning.xmcd")

#### Tilt Positioning Requirements:

Length of lever arm between XD grating and detector L<sub>det</sub> = 497.37mm

Tilt Corresponding to displacement of the image by 1 Detector Pixel:

 $Wp := 18 \mu m \quad (Pixel Width) \qquad \qquad \alpha 1p := \frac{a tan \left(\frac{Wp}{L_{det}}\right)}{2} = 3.732 \ arcsec$ 

#### Tilt Control:

Minimum angle accuracy to locate beam on detector with less than a pixel accuracy

 $\alpha < \alpha 1 p$ 

Calculate minimum number of discrete positions to cover the whole tilt range

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{range} \coloneqq 2.3.85 \text{deg} = 7.7 \text{ deg} \\ &n \coloneqq \frac{\alpha_{range}}{\alpha \text{lp}} = 7.427 \times 10^3 \\ &n_{tp} < n \end{split}$$

In order to place the beam onto the detector with an accuracy of less than a pixel  $(18\mu m)$  in the cross-dispersion direction, it is necessary to be able to control the cross-disperser turret tilt within less than 3.7 arcsec. In order to cover the whole range of tilt (+/-3.85°) with that accuracy, a minimum of 7427 discrete positions are needed.



#### 3 Hall Effect Sensor: F.W. Bell FH-301-040

First we will consider the Hall-effect sensor from F.W. Bell (FH-301-040) which was previously used for the spectrograph detector focus stage of SpeX. In the stage, the sensor is translating in head-on mode between 2 cylindrical SMCO magnets oriented with opposite poles. For the Cross-Disperser mechanism, the same configuration can be used with the difference that the front face of the sensor will go towards the front face of the magnet with an angle equal to the tilt of the turret (between 0 and 3.85deg). Note that the non-linearity introduced by the angle can be calibrated out.

One limitation of this configuration is that the sensing range (defined by the size of the magnets) will govern the maximum distance between the sensor and the tilt axis. For example, considering the same setup (sensor + magnets) as in SpeX, the range is +/-2mm. So with that range, the maximum distance between the sensor and the tilt axis would be 2 / tan (3.85) = 29.7mm. Such a small distance limits the design options for the cross-disperser mechanism.

The other mode to consider is the slide-by mode. This mode would be easier to implement with a tilt, however the voltage response curve is symmetrical which introduces a lot of uncertainty when the magnet is close to the sensor. (See picture below)

It is important to note that many other sensors could be considered, but they would have to be rated for cryogenics or qualified in-house.



Fig.1: Sensing Modes

3.1 Technical Data:

# Thin Film FH-301/500 Series

InAs Thin Film, General Purpose, Transverse

#### Description

FH-301 & FH-500 Series Hall sensors a reminiature solid-state Hall effect magnetic field sensing devices. The FH-500 series uses a lead strip which is composed of printed circuit leads encased in DuPonts Kapton and terminating in contacts on .075" centers. This flexible and tough lead strip can be made in a variety of configurations. The model FH-301 has conventionalwire leads.

#### **Mechanical Specifications**

Leads: AWG 34 copper with polyurethane insulation(FH-301).

#### **Electrical Specifications**

a.Polarity: With field direction (+B) as shown and Ic entering the Ic (+) terminal, the positive Hall voltage will appear at the VH (+) terminal.

b.Note: Unless otherwise specified, all specifications apply at nominal control current with T= 25°C. Heat sinking can enhance performance in several respects.



Hall Sensors



| SPECIFIC ATIONS   | UNITS  | FH-301-020 /FH-520 |
|---|--------|--------------------|
| Inputresistance, R <sub>in</sub>  | ohms   | 20-40              |
| Outputresistance, R <sub>out</sub>  | ohms   | 28-120             |
| Magnetic sensitivity, $V_{H}$ (1)   | mV/kG  | 10 min.            |
| Max. resistive residual<br>voltage, V <sub>M</sub> @ B=0 (2)              | ±mV    | 2                  |
| Max. control current<br>@ 25°C, static air                                | mA     | 50                 |
| Nominal control current, I <sub>cn</sub>                                  | mA     | 25                 |
| Mean temperature coefficient of VH (-20 $^\circ$ C to +80 $^\circ$ C) (2) | %/ °C  | - 0. 1max.         |
| Mean temperature coefficient of resistance (-20°C to +80°C) (2)           | %/ °C  | +0.1max.           |
| Temperature dependence of resistive residual voltage (-20°C to +80°C) (1) | ±µV/°C | 10 max.            |
| Operating temperature range   | °C     | -55 to +100        |
| Storage temperature range   | °C     | -55 to +120        |
|   |        |                    |

Notes

(1)  $l_c = l_{cn}$ (2)  $l_c = 10 \text{ mA}$ (3) maximum linearity error (-20 to +20kg) = ±1% of RDG

(Also see Appendix C for Distance versus Voltage data collected in a lab test. Different curves were obtained depending on the control current used.)

### 3.2 Pros / Cons

| Pros |   | Cons |   |
|------|---|------|---|
| -    | Price                                       | -    | Unknown Accuracy                            |
| -    | Already implemented in SpeX                 | ⇒    | Too much effort needed to quantify at the   |
| -    | Passive Sensing. Can be used simultaneously |      | level of accuracy required.                 |
|      | with Detector Readout.                      | -    | Range is limited. "Physical range reduction |
|      |   |      | trick" isn't applicable. (See 5.3)          |
|      |   | -    | No package or mount included.               |
|      |   | -    | Potential irregular magnetization           |
|      |   |      |   |



## 4 Eddy Current Sensor: Kaman DIT-5200L / 20N

Inductive / Eddy Current Technology linear displacement sensors rely on inductive techniques to induce current flow in a conductive 'target' without physical contact. The term 'eddy current' refers to the fact that induced current flows in a circular pattern.

For a system with better resolution, in the case of the cross-disperser mechanism, it would be best to implement a differential system (with 2 sensors). In an eddy current differential system, the two coils in the inductive bridge are housed in two separate sensors. Rather than one active coil and one reference coil, both sensors contain active coils as in figure 3. These two sensors are usually placed on opposite sides of a target or opposite sides of a target pivot point, as in figure 2.





Fig.2: Differential Target Configuration

Fig.3: Differential System Block Diagram

The main difference with Hall-effect sensors is that Eddy Current sensors are "active" and generate their own magnetic field. This is why the targets for Eddy Current sensors don't have to be magnetic but only conductive.

### 4.1 Technical Data

#### DIT-5200L





#### KAMAN DIT-5200L SENSORS

| Sensor<br>click to view | Range<br>±mil/±mm | Null<br>mil/mm | Typical<br>Non-<br>Linearity<br>± %FR | Maximum<br>Non-<br>Linearity<br>±%FR | Typical<br>Sensor<br>TempCo<br>± %FR/°C | Resolution<br>p-p%FR<br>at 1 kHz<br>BW at FR | Resolution<br>p-p%FR<br>at 1 kHz<br>BW at Null |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| <u>15N</u>              | 10/0.25           | 15/0.38        | 0.15%                                 | 0.30%                                | 0.02%                                   | 0.015%                                       | 0.004%   |
| <u>15N</u>              | 20/0.51           | 25/0.64        | 0.25%                                 | 0.50%                                | 0.03%                                   | 0.008%                                       | 0.002%   |
| 15N                     | 35/0.89           | 40/1.02        | 0.50%                                 | 1.00%                                | 0.03%                                   | 0.005%                                       | 0.003%   |
| 20N                     | 10/0.25           | 20/0.51        | 0.10%                                 | 0.20%                                | 0.02%                                   | 0.025%                                       | 0.005%   |
| <u>20N</u>              | 20/0.51           | 40/1.02        | 0.15%                                 | 0.30%                                | 0.02%                                   | 0.015%                                       | 0.005%   |
| 20N                     | 50/1.27           | 60/1.52        | 0.25%                                 | 0.50%                                | 0.03%                                   | 0.008%                                       | 0.003%   |
| <u>20N</u>              | 75/1.91           | 85/2.16        | 0.50%                                 | 1.00%                                | 0.03%                                   | 0.005%                                       | 0.002%   |

# 4.2 Pros / Cons

| Pros             |  | Cons   |         |
|------------------|--|--|---------|
| -<br>-<br>-<br>- | Known accuracy.<br>Comes as a set: Sensors + Electronics.<br>Extremely Linear.<br>Range can be tuned using a "Physical range<br>reduction trick". (See 5.3)<br>Easier to implement | <ul> <li>Price.</li> <li>Needs Coax cables.</li> <li>Active sensor: can perturb detector readout</li> <li>Needs 10/15 minutes to warm up and give reliable data after turning it on =&gt; RF switch needed.</li> </ul> | t.<br>1 |
|                  |  |  |         |



## **5** Choice of a Sensor and Implementation

## 5.1 Choice of a Sensor

Considering ease of implementation, cost, risks and performances, the choice of going with the Kaman is largely preferred.

Ease of implementation because the "physical range reduction trick" can be used (See 5.3) but also because the sensor package is threaded for easy mounting. (Unlike the Hall-effect sensor that needs to be epoxied).

As for the other criteria, it is important to note that no data is available in regards to the Hall-effect sensor accuracy. Since the accuracy needed for the Cross-Disperser mechanism is much higher than the focus stage in SpeX, the stage mechanism cannot be used as a benchmark. In order to make sure that the Hall-effect sensor's performance is sufficient, it would then be necessary to "verify in the lab". However, due to the very high accuracy, it would be very costly to implement such a test setup. Since the accuracy data is available for the Kaman sensor and exceeds the requirements with a comfortable safety factor, it is clear that the difference of retail cost of the sensor is no longer an argument.

### 5.2 Implementation

(See MathCAD worksheet: "XDM\_TiltPositioning.xmcd")

#### Calculate Sensor Accuracy, considering KAMAN 20N

| Sensor Parameters:   |   |                                       |                |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| FR <sub>Kaman</sub> := 2·1.91mm = 3.82 mm  | Full Range = +/-1.                                | 91mm                                  |                |  |
| Res <sub>Kaman</sub> := 1.5.10 <sup>-5</sup> %   | Resolution p-p%FR at 1KHz Bandwidth at Full Range |                                       | Range          |  |
| BW <sub>XDM</sub> := 1000 Sensing Bandwid  |   | th for the Cross-Disperser (in Hertz) |                |  |
| $N_{RMS} := FR_{Kaman} \cdot Res_{Kaman} = 5.73 \times 10^{-4} \mu m$ Equivalent RMS Input Noise |   |                                       |                |  |
| $\text{Res}_{Eff} := N_{RMS} \cdot \sqrt{BW_{XDM}} = 0.018  \mu m$                               |   | Effective Resolution                  | See Appendix A |  |
| $Ref_{PP} := 6.6 \cdot Res_{Eff} = 0.12  \mu m$  |   | Peak to Peak Resolution               |                |  |

Minimum Sensor Accuracy needed based on implemented design and sensor Location & Orientation:

| D <sub>sensor</sub> := 160mm  | Distance between XDM tilt axis and Sensor   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| $\alpha_{\rm st} \coloneqq 7 {\rm deg}$ Angle between the face of the sensor and plan perpendicular to the axis |   |  |  |
| R <sub>sensor</sub> := 2·D <sub>senso</sub>   | $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{tan}\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{range}}}{2}\right) = 21.535 \mathrm{mm}$ |  |  |
| Rs := 2·R <sub>sensor</sub> ·tan  | $\left(\frac{\alpha_{st}}{2}\right) = 2.634  \text{mm}$   |  |  |
| $S_{\min\_accu} := \frac{Rs}{n} = 0$  | 0.355 µm  |  |  |



# 5.3 Physical Range Reduction



Fig.4: "Physical Range Reduction" in the Cross-Disperser Mechanism

Thanks to the fact that Eddy Current sensors don't need to use magnetic targets but only conductive ones, basically only the minimum distance between the sensor and the target is being sensed. In the case of a Hall-effect sensor, what is sensed is the magnetic field of the target. And since the magnetic field isn't homogeneous, it is necessary for the center of the target to be aligned with the center of the sensor. Because of that fact, it would be pretty much impossible to implement the system as described in Fig. 4.



## 6 Appendix A: Kaman Sensor Accuracy Calculation



#### CALCULATING RESOLUTION

Equivalent RMS input noise: A figure of merit used to quantify the noise contributed by a system component. It incorporates into a single value several factors that influence a noise specification such as signal-tonoise ratio, noise floor, and system bandwidth. Given a measuring system's sensitivity/scale factor and the level of "white" noise in the system, equivalent RMS input noise can be expressed using actual measurement units.

Effective resolution: An application-dependent value determined by multiplying the equivalent RMS input noise specification by the square root of the measurement bandwidth.

Example: A 15N sensor monitoring a reciprocating target moving  $\pm 10$  mils (FR) filtered externally to 15KHz bandwidth.

1. Calculate a value for equivalent RMS input noise. From the equivalent RMS input noise table, use the value of equivalent RMS input noise for a 15N sensor calibrated over a ±10 mil range. Multiply this by the full

range of the calibration. Divide by 100. Noise value is a percent of full range. (0.00007% X 0.020 inches) / 100 = 1.4 x 10-8 inches or 0.014 µinches.

2. Calculate effective resolution. From step 1, take the equivalent RMS input noise and multiply by the square root of the measurement bandwidth in Hz. 0.014 μinches X √15000 = 1.714 μinches

3. Approximate peak-to-peak resolution. From step 2, take the effective resolution and multiply by 6.6. 1.714 µinches X 6.6 = 11.312 µinches

#### EQUIVALENT RMS INPUT NOISE

| Range<br>± mils | Range<br>± mm | Sensor | % Full Range<br>at Full Range | % Full Range<br>at Null |
|-----------------|---------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10              | 0.25          | 15N    | 2E-5%                         | 2E-5%                   |
| 10              | 0.25          | 20N    | 2E-5%                         | 2E-5%                   |
| 20              | 0.50          | 15N    | 2E-5%                         | 2E-5%                   |
| 20              | 0.50          | 20N    | 2E-5%                         | 2E-5%                   |
| 35              | 0.9           | 15N    | 2E-5%                         | 1E-5%                   |
| 50              | 1.3           | 20N    | 2E-5%                         | 1E-5%                   |
| 75              | 1.9           | 20N    | 1.5E-5%                       | 1E-5%                   |



# 7 Appendix B: XDM Tilt Drive Calculations

(See MathCAD worksheet: "XDM\_TiltPositioning.xmcd")

# Tilt Drive:

# Stepper Motor Resolution (steps/turn)

Resmot := 400

# XD Tilt Drive Screw Lead

Lead := .025in

## Linear displacement of tilt drive screw per motor step

 $\Delta x := \frac{\text{Lead}}{\text{Res}_{mot}} \qquad \Delta x = 1.59 \cdot \text{micron}$ 

# Length of tilt drive lever arm

Ltltdrv := 200mm

# Calculate angular displacement of XD grating per motor step

 $\alpha_{step} := \frac{\Delta x}{L_{tltdrv}}$ 

 $\alpha_{step} = 1.64 \cdot arcsec$ 

Calculate angular displacement of image on detector per motor step

 $\beta_{step} := 2\alpha_{step}$   $\beta_{step} = 3.27 \cdot arcsec$ 

Calculate corresponding linear displacement of image on detector per motor step

 $\Delta y := L_{det} \cdot \beta_{step}$   $\Delta y = 7.90 \cdot micron$ 

# Calculate fraction of detector pixel

Fraction :=  $\frac{\alpha_{step}}{\alpha_{lp}}$ 

Fraction = 43.865.%



## 8 Appendix C: Hall Effect Measurements & Theoretical Values



(See EXCEL worksheet: "HallEffect.xlsx")